

CRAWFORD MAUNU PLLC
Attorneys at Law
1270 Northland Drive, Suite 390
St. Paul, Minnesota 55120
651-686-6633 • fax 651-686-7111

FACSIMILE

DATE: January 27, 2003 **SERIAL NO.** 09/531,102
TO: Examiner Wasylchak **FROM:** Robert J. Crawford
FAX NO.: (703) 872-9327 **DOCKET NO.** JARB.004PA
PHONE NO.: (703) 308-2848

Official

NO. OF PAGES 6
(w/ cover sheet):

DOCUMENT ATTACHED:

Office Action Response

FAX RECEIVED

JAN 28 2003

GROUP 3600

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY AND
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED/WORK PRODUCT INFORMATION

This memorandum and the transmission it accompanies contain confidential information. The attorney-client privilege and the attorney work-product doctrines may protect this confidential information. This confidential information is to be reviewed only by the addressee identified above. If you have received this transmission in error, you are instructed to destroy all pages immediately and to call the sender at the telephone number indicated above.

#13/Response (B)
1-29-03
Lowman
PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Giannini et al.	Examiner:	Wasylichak, S.
Serial No.:	09/531,102	Group Art Unit:	3624
Filed:	March 17, 2000	Docket No.:	JARB.004PA
Title:	MERGED IMAGES VIEWED VIA A COLOR-CODE SCHEME		

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence and the papers, as described hereinabove, are being sent via facsimile to 703-872-9327, attention Examiner Wasylichak, on January 27, 2003.

By: 

Erin M. Nichols

Official

OFFICE ACTION RESPONSE

FAX RECEIVED

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

JAN 28 2003

GROUP 3600

Dear Sir:

In response to the final Office Action dated December 18, 2002, please consider the following remarks.

Remarks

Favorable reconsideration of this application is requested in view of the following remarks. For the reasons set forth below, Applicant respectfully submits that the claimed invention is allowable over the cited references.

The Office Action dated December 18, 2002, indicated that claims 1, 2, 5-9 and 12-16 stand rejected under §103(a) as being anticipated by *Kagami et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 5,974,400) and further in view of *Graf et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,349,300); and claims 3, 4, 10